## <u>Table A – Results of Internal Review Committee</u>

Date o	f Report:		October 21, 2004			
Water	Body Under Eva	aluatio	n:			
	Identification #:		1709			
	Name:		Maline Creek			
	Length of Segm	nent:	1 mile			
	County (ies):		St. Louis County and City			
Use A1	ttainability Analy	ysis St	udy:			
	Identification #:		0493			
	Submitter:		Metropolitan St. Louis Sew	er District -M	EC Water Reso	ources, Inc.
	Date Received:		July 14, 2005			
	Use Evaluated:		Whole Body Contact Recre	ation (WBCR)	)	
U <b>AA (</b>	Completeness Ch	neck:				
	All Required In	ıformat	tion Included?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	
	Correct Forms I	Used?		Yes Yes	☐ No	
	Forms Signed a	ınd Dat	ted?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	
	Comments:					
U <b>AA (</b>	Criteria Evaluate	ed:		rces.		
			2-Natural, Ephemeral, I	ntermittent or	Low-Flow Cor	dition.
			3-Non-Remedial, Huma			
			4-Hydrologic Modificat			
			5-Natural Physical Feat			
			6-Substantial, Widespre		Economic Imp	act.
Use De	etermination & R	Recom	mendation:			
			nce November 28, 1975?	Yes	□No	Unknown
	Comments:					
	Is the evaluated	l use at	tainahle?	☐Yes	□No	
	Is the evaluated use attainable?					
			at Bellefontaine Road and to			
		_	the Mississippi River. No e		_	
			ews with 7 individuals revea			
		information back to 1975 was not provided. Teenagers were reported on				
			eambanks (and grafetti is pre			
			ts water quality monitoring			
			met the maximum depth cri			
			he average depth criterion (			
			ogic modifications (Criterio		_	
			he channel with concrete, cr			
			vater quickly. The hydrolog			
	-	•	1 · j · j · i		J J J J	-



Water Protection & Soil Conservation Division Water Protection Program Use Attainability Analysis Toll Free (800) 361-4827

## Table A – Results of Internal Review Committee

swimming for safety reasons. The UAA provides documentation that bacterial concentrations are high even though there are no permitted continuous discharges in the watershed. Although the Metropolitan Sewer District (MSD) has contracted the United States Geologic Survey to conduct a bacterial source tracking study, at present there is no evidence separating natural from anthropogenic sources, so the use of removal Criteria #1 and #3 are not conclusive. MSD plans to evaluate economic impact for different Combined Sewer Overflow control options that may result in information applicable to the Economic Impact criterion (#6). Based on the need for more information to document two of the cited removal criteria, the committee found the information provided inconclusive.

Committee Recommend	ation: Retain Use Modify Use Remove Use Inconclusive
Committee Members:	Stacia Bax, Ann Crawford, Donna Menown

